10/524720



Aid nar application No.

PCT/JP03/10498 CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER Int.Cl⁷ H01M8/02, H01M8/10 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) Int.Cl⁷ H01M8/02, H01M8/10 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1922-1996 Toroku Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1994-2003 Kokai Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1971-2003 Jitsuyo Shinan Toroku Koho 1996-2003 Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Relevant to claim No. Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages X JP 2002-25571 A (Nisshinbo Industries, Inc.), Y 25 January, 2002 (25.01.02), 5 Claims; Par. Nos. [0019] to [0032] (Family: none) Y 5 JP 2002-198062 A (Aisin Seiki Co., Ltd.), 12 July, 2002 (12.07.02), Claims; Fig. 1 (Family: none) JP 8-31231 A (Shin-Etsu Polymer Co., Ltd.), 6 X 02 February, 1996 (02.02.96), 7-8 Y Claims; Par. Nos. [0006], [0013] to [0018] (Family: none) X Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. later document published after the international filing date or Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to considered to be of particular relevance understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is step when the document is taken alone document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) considered to involve an inventive step when the document is "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art document published prior to the international filing date but later document member of the same patent family than the priority date claimed Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report 01 October, 2003 (01.10.03) 14 October, 2003 (14.10.03) Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA/ Japanese Patent Office

Telephone No.

Facsimile No.

	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No
Y .	JP 2002-100377 A (Kawasaki Steel Corp.), 05 April, 2002 (05.04.02), Claims; Par. No. [0015] (Family: none)	7-8
-		
		·
·		

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: As described on (extra sheet), there must exist a special technical feature so linking a group of inventions of claims as to form a single general inventive concept in order that the group of inventions may satisfy the requirement of unity of invention. The international application contains two inventions: the inventions of claims 1-5, and the invention of claims 6-8.
As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. X As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

Continuation of Box No. II of continuation of first sheet (1)

There must exist a special technical feature so linking a group of inventions of claims as to form a single general inventive concept in order that the group of inventions may satisfy the requirement of unity of invention. The group of inventions of claims 1-5 are linked only by the technical feature "a separator for fuel cells made of a mixture material of a thermoplastic resin selected from ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymers and ethylene-ethyl acrylate copolymers and carbon particles selected from at least one of Ketjenblack, graphite, and acetylene black". The group of inventions of claims 6-8 are linked only by the technical feature "a separator for fuel cells made of a mixture material containing polyphenyl sulfide, graphite, and Ketjenblack".

The invention of claims 1-5 and the invention of claims 6-8 are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept.

Therefore, the international application is considered to contain two inventions: the invention of claims 1-5 and the invention of claims 6-8.